

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No.</p>	<p>Applicant(s)</p>
	<p>10/567,074</p>	<p>SCHERER ET AL.</p>
	<p>Examiner</p>	<p>Art Unit</p>
	<p>JEANINE A. GOLDBERG</p>	<p>1634</p>

All Participants:

(1) JEANINE A. GOLDBERG

(2) Doreen Hogle

Date of Interview: 24 June 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

WO2004038003

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called the applicant to propose a further amendment to Claim 47 to place the claim in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to the amendment to Claim 47. Applicant agreed to cancel Claims 1-3, 34-39, 42 withdrawn as drawn to non-elected subject matter. Claim 49 has been rejoined.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeanine A Goldberg/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

Status of Application: after amendment

(3) Maria Cedroni

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)